

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,847	11/30/2005	Fyodor Umov	8325-0034 (S34-US1)	7879	
20855 ROBINS & PA	7590 05/02/200 ASTERNAK	EXAMINER			
1731 EMBAR	CADERO ROAD	SISSON, BRADLEY L			
SUITE 230 PALO ALTO.	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TALO ALTO,	CA 54505		1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,847		URNOV ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634		

	Bradley L. Sisson	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	A colored the data of firm a bole		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L below),	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. \(\subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-5.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1 and 6-15.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Bradley L. Sisson/		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: See the attached Notice of Noncompliant Amendment.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: At page 8, bridging to page 9 of the response received 21 April 2008, applicant asserts:

"In the instant case, an array of sequences consisting of sequence corresponding to accessible regions is structurally distinguishable from Brennan's arrays because the claimed arrays include only sequences corresponding to accessible regions arrays necessarily include both sequences corresponding to accessible regions and sequences corresponding to non-accessible regions. Moreover, the recited process steps (losalition of sequences corresponding to accessible regions) clearly implicate the claimed structural distinction (array consisting of only accessible regions as compared to arrays including all 10-mers that inevitably include both accessible and non-accessible sequences)."

The above argument has been considered and has not been found persuasive towards the withdrawal of the rejection for the following reason. While the claims have been amended so to recite that the sequences are that that "correspond to accessible regions of cellular chromatin," the aspect of just what constitutes "accessible" versus "naccessible" regions of cellular chromatin is less than clear. A review of the specification finds that the term "accessible region" is defined at page 12, lines 26-33, of the specification. For convenience, the definition is reproduced below.

"An 'accessible region' in cellular chromatin is generally one that does not have a typical nucleosomal structure. As such, an accessible region can be identified and localized by, for example, the use of chemicals and/or enzymes that probe chromatin structure. Accessible regions will, in general, have an altered reactivity to a probe, compared to bulk chromatin. An accessible region may be sensitive to the probe, compared to bulk chromatin, or it may have a pattern of sensitivity that is different from the pattern of sensitivity exhibited by bulk chromatin. Accessible regions can be identified by any method known to those of skill in the art for probing chromat structure."

As can be seen above, the definition is not limiting. Such a holding is based on the use of the modifiers "generally", "in general", and "may have." Accordingly, any nucleotide sequence found in a cell's chromatin could meet this definition.

It is further noted that the entire nucleotide sequence of all chromatin found in numerous bacteria, plants, and animals, including humans, has been determined. Such a showing is considered to demonstrate that all of the chromatin constitutes chromatin from an "accessible region." The oligonucleotides of Brennan are considered to meet this requirement. Further, applicant has not been found to teach which sequence(s) of Brennan dotdoes not correspond to an accessible region of chromatin as found in some life form.

For the above reasons, and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, claims 2-5 remain rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,474,796 (Brennan).